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Consider a function $f(.)$ of $p$ numbers of variables:

$$y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p)$$

Find $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ that maximizes or minimizes $y$

 Usually, minimize a cost/loss function or maximize profit/likelihood function.
Global/Local Optimization
Discussion

- What would be definition of global optimizers?
- How to find global solutions?
Gradient

- Single variable:
  - The derivative: slope of the tangent line at a point $x_0$
Gradient

- **Multivariable:**
  \[ \nabla f = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \]
  A vector of partial derivatives with respect to each of the independent variables

- \( \nabla f \) points in the direction of greatest rate of change or “steepest ascent”

- **Magnitude (or length) of \( \nabla f \) is the greatest rate of change**
Gradient
The general idea

- We have $k$ parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k$ we’d like to train for a model – with respect to some error/loss function $J(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$ to be minimized.

- Gradient descent is one way to iteratively determine the optimal set of parameter values:
  1. Initialize parameters
  2. Keep changing values to reduce $J(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$

- $\nabla J$ tells us which direction increases $J$ the most
- We go in the opposite direction of $\nabla J$
To actually descend...

Set initial parameter values $\theta_1^0, \ldots, \theta_k^0$
while(not converged) {
    calculate $\nabla J$ (i.e. evaluate $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_k}$)
    do {
        $\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_1}$
        $\theta_2 := \theta_2 - \alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_2}$
        $\vdots$
        $\theta_k := \theta_k - \alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_k}$
    }
}

Where $\alpha$ is the ‘learning rate’ or ‘step size’
- Small enough $\alpha$ ensures $J(\theta_1^i, \ldots, \theta_k^i) \leq J(\theta_1^{i-1}, \ldots, \theta_k^{i-1})$
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Issues

- Convex objective function guarantees convergence to global minimum
- Non-convexity brings the possibility of getting stuck in a local minimum
  - Different, randomized starting values can fight this
Initial Values and Convergence
Initial Values and Convergence
Convergence can be slow

- Larger learning rate $\alpha$ can speed things up, but with too large of $\alpha$, optimums can be ‘jumped’ or skipped over - requiring more iterations
- Too small of a step size will keep convergence slow
- Can be combined with a *line search* to find the optimal $\alpha$ on every iteration
Convex set

Definition

- A set $C$ is convex if, for any $x, y \in C$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C$

- If we take any two elements in $C$, and draw a line segment between these two elements, then every point on that line segment also belongs to $C$
Convex set

Examples of a convex set (a) and a non-convex set
Convex functions

- **Definition**

  A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if its domain (denoted $D(f)$) is a convex set, and if, for all $x, y \in D(f)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y)$.

- If we pick any two points on the graph of a convex function and draw a straight line between them, the portion of the function between these two points will lie below this straight line.
Convex function

The line connecting two points on the graph must lie above the function.
Steepest Descent Method

\[ f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 \]

Contours are shown below
The gradient at the point \((x_1^1, x_2^1)\) is
\[
\nabla f (x_1^1, x_2^1) = (2x_1^1, 10x_2^1)^T
\]
If we choose \(x_1^1 = 3.22, x_2^1 = 1.39\) as the starting point represented by the black dot on the figure, the black line shown in the figure represents the direction for a line search.

\[
-\nabla f (x_1^1, x_2^1) = (-6.44, -13.9)^T
\]
Now, the question is how big should the step be along the direction of the gradient? We want to find the minimum along the line before taking the next step.

The minimum along the line corresponds to the point where the new direction is orthogonal to the original direction.

The new point is \((x_1^2, x_2^2) = (2.47, -0.23)\) shown in blue.
By the third iteration we can see that from the point \((x_1^2, x_2^2)\) the new vector again misses the minimum, and here it seems that we could do better because we are close.

Steepest descent is usually used as the first technique in a minimization procedure, however, a robust strategy that improves the choice of the new direction will greatly enhance the efficiency of the search for the minimum.
Numerical Optimization

- Steepest descent
- Newton Method
- Gauss–Newton algorithm
- Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm
- Line Search Methods
- Trust-Region Methods

Discussion

- How does OLS provide a closed-form solution?
- Can we obtain the optimal solution of linear regression model using gradient descent?